

To whom it may concern:
I would like for the FCC to reject petition 04-160.

Currently XM provides me the service that I desire. I have found XM to be a service that goes above and beyond conventional radio. I would like to stress how much this service has meant to me as the consumer and voter. The service, quality, range, and programming are not like any other stations(FM & AM). I find this service to be it's own category. One that is offered at a premium.

As for the XM weather and traffic channel offering, I do not find these channels to be in competition with local stations. Local stations offer me the detail and comprehension of the cities conditions(with commentary), while XM stations offer me a broad understanding of the city's conditions. While conventional radio cannot offer me that security while travelling; XM offers me the service of security at a premium. Like Weather.com, I rely on XM services to guide me through rough weather conditions while I am on the road(city to city). I do not use XM weather like I would for a FM station or as the national emergency station, but instead as a service that can guide me through my travel and insure my safety. I equate the service as an on-going on-star.

I again respectfully urge that the FCC rejects the NAB's petition 04-160 and to support XM's ability to provide the kind of programming that I request, demand, and deserve.

Thank you for listening to my views,

Carlos Demiranda